

C.S. 3001

SINGLE BENCH

CF 1002 (2) (4)

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT
BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 818 OF 2007 (S.B.)

PETITIONERS

1. Smt. Shakeela Begum, aged about 25 years, Wife of Shri Akeel Ahmed, Aanganbadi Assistant (Sahayika), Resident of Phoolpadarpara Ward No.9, Geedam, District South Bastar Dantewada (C.G.).
2. Smt. Shama Begum, aged about 29 years, Wife of Shri Liyakat, Aanganbadi Assistant (Sahayika), Resident of Dewanganpara Ward No.9, Geedam, District South Bastar Dantewada (C.G.).
3. Smt. Fareeda Begum, aged about 32 years, Wife of Shri Naushad, Aanganbadi Assistant (Sahayika), Resident of P.W.D. Para, Ward No.7, Geedam, District South Bastar Dantewada (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
5/12/07
CLERK to A.G. BILASPUR



VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through:
The Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

[Handwritten signature]



2. The Collector, Dantewada, District South Bastar (C.G.).
3. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Dantewada, District South Bastar (C.G.).
4. The District Programme Officer, Woman and Child Development Dantewada, District South Bastar (C.G.).
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Nagar Panchayat Geedam, District South Bastar Dantewada (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA

35

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. (s) No. 818 of 2007

Petitioners : Smt. Shakeela Begum and others

Versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri.

Shri P.K.C. Tiwari, Senior Advocate with Shri Avinash K. Mishra, Advocate
for the Petitioner.


Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 12th day of February, 2007)

The following order of the Court was passed by Satish K. Agnihotri, J.

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were appointed as Aanganwadi Karyakartas (Workers) by the Janpad Panchayat by the order dated 22.5.2006 (Annexure P/2). Thereafter, the petitioners had undergone required training for a period of one month. After having completed the required training, the petitioners have been appointed as Aanganwadi Karyakartas (Workers).
2. By the order dated 10.1.2007 (Annexure P/1), the respondent No. 2 cancelled the selection of Aanganwadi Karyakartas (Workers) in several centres i.e. Naka Para, Dewangan Para, P.W.D. Para, Phoolpadar Para. Against the said order, the petitioners have filed this petition without availing alternative remedy of statutory appeal to the Director,



(36)

Panchayat under the provisions of Rule 3 (b) of the Madhya Pradesh Panchayats (Appeal and Revision) Rules, 1995.

3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to withdraw this petition with liberty to file the statutory appeal before the concerned authority. It is ordered accordingly. Delay, if any, occurred in pursuing this matter may not be taken into account while entertaining the appeal of the petitioners.
4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of summarily. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge